

Date: Sat, 8 May 93 16:42:09 PDT  
From: Info-Hams Mailing List and Newsgroup <info-hams@ucsd.edu>  
Errors-To: Info-Hams-Errors@UCSD.Edu  
Reply-To: Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu  
Precedence: Bulk  
Subject: Info-Hams Digest V93 #558  
To: Info-Hams

Info-Hams Digest                      Sat, 8 May 93                      Volume 93 : Issue 558

Today's Topics:

2m Amp - How?? (2 msgs)  
Announcement - ARRL Information Mail Server (info@arrl.org)  
Cell Phone Scanners  
Cellular Scanner  
FM Transmission of music.(Pirate stations) (2 msgs)  
Humor Alert  
Kenwood 732/742 Remote question  
Mobile Flourecent Interference!  
The Canonical list of Code-Wars Answers

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu>  
Send subscription requests to: <Info-Hams-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>  
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Info-Hams Digest are available  
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/info-hams".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text  
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official  
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

-----  
Date: Sat, 8 May 1993 15:32:11 GMT  
From: usc!howland.reston.ans.net!ux1.cso.uiuc.edu!newsrelay.iastate.edu!  
news.iastate.edu!tarjan@network.UCSD.EDU  
Subject: 2m Amp - How??  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

I wanted to get an amp for my HT... So I could have better mobile usage..

nothing incredible.. 20-60 watts output.. but I dont have enough money for a  
mobile.. but I still need to use my HT while I am driving.. SO.. a mobile amp  
sounds like a nice idea..

if anyone has the plans to do this.. or knows where I can get the plans or a  
kit (that they KNOW works) PLEASE tell me...

Post it back to me.. or email.. but posting would be better.. since there are probably people out there who would find this interesting !!

tarjan@iastate.edu

--

-----BEGIN PGP PUBLIC KEY BLOCK-----

Version: 2.1

mQCNAiuSvfsAAAAEEANedvpkX74z4wwGW9f1M10bhLHL6UNb08mpH0qlUIxaKsfYt

-----

Date: 8 May 93 13:40:10 CST

From: sdd.hp.com!ux1.cso.uiuc.edu!moe.ksu.ksu.edu!engr.uark.edu!news.ualr.edu!  
eivax.ualr.edu!mauldin@network.UCSD.EDU

Subject: 2m Amp - How??

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <C6psHo.193@news.iastate.edu>, tarjan@iastate.edu (The Mad god!) writes:

>

> I wanted to get an amp for my HT... So I could have better mobile usage..

>

> nothing incredible.. 20-60 watts output.. but I dont have enough money for a

> mobile.. but I still need to use my HT while I am driving.. SO.. a mobile amp

> sounds like a nice idea..

>

> if anyone has the plans to do this.. or knows where I can get the plans or a

> kit (that they KNOW works) PLEASE tell me...

>

Check any edition of [ITALICS]The Radio Amateur's Handbook[italics]  
from the past 25 years or so. If you don't own a copy, check your local  
library.

Disclaimer: this is not a plug for the ARRL, but every ham ought to  
have a Handbook.

73,

Doug K5DH

-----

Date: Fri, 7 May 1993 07:41:09 GMT

From: swrinde!gatech!news-feed-1.peachnet.edu!emory!nigel.msen.com!fmsrl7!  
destroyer!cs.ubc.ca!alberta!adec23!ve6mgs!rec-radio-info@network.UCSD.EDU

Subject: Announcement - ARRL Information Mail Server (info@arrl.org)

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

The American Radio Relay League (ARRL) has a useful service -- the ARRL Information Mail Server. This is an automated mail server that let's you access many of our information files, containing information about various facets of Amateur Radio. You can retrieve any or all of these files by sending an email message to [info@arrl.org](mailto:info@arrl.org) here at ARRL HQ. Each file you request is then mailed to you automatically, usually within a few hours.

To use it, mail messages to:

[info@arrl.org](mailto:info@arrl.org)

Each line of the message should contain a command as shown below. You may place as many commands in a message as you want. Each file you request will be sent to you in a separate message. Only ASCII text files are supported at present.

Valid INFO commands:

help	Sends this help file
index	Sends an index of the files available from INFO
send FILENAME	Sends "file" example: send email
quit	Terminates the transaction (use this if you have a signature or other text at the end of the message.)
reply <address>	Sends the response to the specified address. Put this at the BEGINNING of your message if your From: address is not a valid Internet address.

Note: your message will *\*not\** be read by a human! Do not include any requests or questions except by way of the above commands. Retrieve the "EMAIL" file for a list of addresses of ARRL HQ humans :-)

73 de ARRL HQ, Ed Hare, KA1CV, [ehare@arrl.org](mailto:ehare@arrl.org)

Sample of files available from INFO: (There are lots more!)

FILENAME	SIZE	DATE	DESCRIPTION
----------	------	------	-------------

-----  
#Note - If you are not yet an Amateur Radio operator retrieve the  
#file prospect (send prospect) for information on how to easily get  
#started in this fun hobby.

PROSPECT	2k	921203	How to get your Amateur Radio license
EXAM-SCHEDULE	40k	921201	Current exam schedule info - updated bi-weekly
EXAM-INFO	9k	921020	Examinations - what to bring - requirements
EMAIL	5k	921201	List of HQ Email addresses
ADDRESSES	16k	921203	Lots and lots of ham/electronic company addresses
BIOEFFECTS	29k	921203	Information about RF safety

EMI-GEN	37k	921203	How to solve an EMI/RFI problem - QST Lab Notes
EMI-SOURCE	13k	921203	Where to buy filters - EMI-proof telephones etc.
KITS	5k	921203	List of companies that sell kits
PARTS-SOURCE	2k	921203	List of companies that sell electronic parts
PRODUCT-REVIEW	45k	921203	Bibliography of QST Product Reviews (large file)
SOFTWARE	12k	921203	Where to find ham-radio software - QST Lab Notes
BBS	33k	921203	List of ham-radio land-line bulletin boards
FTP-INFO	5k	921203	How to ftp - a list of a few 'hammy' ftp sites
PUBLICITY-HAM	9k	921203	Public-relations info about Amateur Radio - media
FAQ-1	22k	921203	Introduction to the FAQ and Amateur Radio
FAQ-2	39k	921203	Amateur Radio Organizations Services and Info
FAQ-3	31k	921203	Amateur Radio Advanced and Technical Questions

## N

-----

Ed Hare, KA1CV	ehare@arrl.org
American Radio Relay League	
225 Main St.	
Newington, CT 06111	"The goal of every engineer is to
(203) 666-1541 - voice	retire without getting blamed for a
ARRL Laboratory Supervisor	major catastrophe." -- Scott Adams
RFI, xmtr and rcvr testing	and Dilbert

-----

--

- Postings to rec.radio.info:	rec-radio-info@ve6mgs.ampr.ab.ca
- rec.radio.info administrivia:	rec-radio-request@ve6mgs.ampr.ab.ca

-----

Date: 8 May 93 22:34:01 GMT  
 From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu  
 Subject: Cell Phone Scanners  
 To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

>Do cell phones already have a computer interface  
 >built-in or did these guys have to invent one?  
 >  
 >If anyone has details on this stuff, please post.  
 >  
 >73,  
 >Harold G. Peach, Jr.                    ><>                    N4FLZ                    \_%                    hgpeach@s.ms.uky.edu

Harold, most cell phones use synthesizers with a serial bus tied into a microcontroller. The synthesizers have "magic" numbers just like all other synthesized radios. Take apart the cell phone, replace the uC with a properly programmed uC and bingo, you have a cell phone scanner for base stations. With a little more complexity, you can automatically switch cell sites along with the mobile cell phone in the car

next to you. But 99.9% of the stuff on cell phones is not worth the time it takes to listen. It would take me a couple of days to design a cell phone scanner from scratch. I don't do it, not because I would be treading on legal thin ice, but it's just not worth the effort. Besides that, my Alinco DR-570 and DJ-580 will both scan the cell phone frequencies. All the information you ever need on AMPS cellular phones is contained in EIA/TIA-553... Cecil... KG7BK

-----  
Date: Sun, 9 May 1993 00:47:17 GMT  
From: pa.dec.com!nntpd2.cxo.dec.com!nuts2u.enet.dec.com!little@decwrl.dec.com  
Subject: Cellular Scanner  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

jherman@uhunix.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu (Jeff Herman) writes:

>The new law defines a scanner as (among other things) as having coverage  
>from 30 MHz to ?? MHz, so cordless phones and public service equipment  
>are obviously not included in the ban.

Obvious? There are apparently exceptions made for public service, i.e. police, ATF, etc. but the definition of scanner was extremely broad:

3. As defined within our rules, scanning receivers, or "scanners," are radio receivers that can automatically switch between four or more frequencies anywhere within the 30-960 MHz band. In...

So many cordless phones can automatically switch between four or more frequencies anywhere within the 30-960 MHz band. I think the clincher is the word "anywhere". Switching between four frequencies in the 49 MHz is certainly included in switching between four frequencies in the range 30-960 MHz. Makes cordless phones sound like scanners based upon the above definition.

Was there an exception to cellular phones, or are they too going to be prohibited? ;-)

73,  
Todd  
N9MWB

-----  
Date: Sat, 8 May 1993 13:17:36 GMT  
From: usc!howland.reston.ans.net!paladin.american.edu!gatech!wa4mei!ke4zv!gary@network.UCSD.EDU

Subject: FM Transmission of music.(Pirate stations)  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <2cT83B1w164w@jwt.oau.org> bbs-ksj@jwt.oau.org writes:  
>cs92smw@cen.ex.ac.uk (S.M.Wakely) writes:  
>> I'm interested in how to transmit music to a local village on FM as part of  
>> Physics 3rd year project. But I am not sure of the transmitter required or ho  
[munch]  
>  
>Are you thinking that perhaps your professor will be impressed with your  
>ability to break FCC regulations? Or are you just really, really dying  
>to get a close look at that yellow truck with the loop antenna on top?

I wonder, are FCC vans amphibious? I think they'd have to be to get  
to the UK.

>Get smart. Don't do this.

Get a clue, he's not in the US. No doubt he'd be in violation of all  
kinds of UK regulations, but let the UK folks deal with it.

Gary

--  
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it, | gatech!wa4mei!ke4zv!gary  
Destructive Testing Systems | we break it. | uunet!rsiatl!ke4zv!gary  
534 Shannon Way | Guaranteed! | emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary  
Lawrenceville, GA 30244 | |

-----  
Date: Sat, 08 May 93 21:19:24 GMT  
From: usc!howland.reston.ans.net!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!menudo.uh.edu!jpunix!  
unkaphaed!phaedrus@network.UCSD.EDU  
Subject: FM Transmission of music.(Pirate stations)  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

gary@ke4zv.uucp (Gary Coffman) writes:

> Get a clue, he's not in the US. No doubt he'd be in violation of all  
> kinds of UK regulations, but let the UK folks deal with it.

The government in the UK cracks down on pirates with an iron fist. The media  
there (I am told) aren't even allowed to mention pirates exist, and passing  
information on pirate broadcasts isn't legal. I've seen a program on  
PBS (from the Beeb) where one of the main characters operated a pirate  
radio station (briefly) - until agents from the government came along and  
destroyed his equipment...

--

James Hartman (phaedrus@unkaphaed.jpunix.com)

Listen to "Weightless" Friday mornings on KPFT 90.1 Houston at 3:00 a.m.

-----

Date: Sat, 8 May 1993 17:07:28 GMT

From: amdcad!amdcl2!brian@decwrl.dec.com

Subject: Humor Alert

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Coming soon to a newsgroup near you, the Canonical List of

C O D E    W A R S    R E S P O N S E S

If you do content kills, you'll almost certainly miss it, but the header will have the word "canonical" in it if you want to look for it.

Brian McMinn   N5PSS   brian@amd.com

-----

Date: Sat, 8 May 1993 17:52:09 GMT

From: usc!howland.reston.ans.net!darwin.sura.net!news-feed-1.peachnet.edu!concert!  
samba!usenet@network.UCSD.EDU

Subject: Kenwood 732/742 Remote question

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Has anyone figured out mods for the 742 yet? I'm looking for out of band tx/rx, addition of a BNC jack for 800MHz reception, and the other goodies that the 741 does.

-ks

KD6RCT

--

The opinions expressed are not necessarily those of the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, the Campus Office for Information Technology, or the Experimental Bulletin Board Service.  
internet: laUNChpad.unc.edu or 152.2.22.80

-----

Date: Fri, 7 May 1993 23:10:05 EST

From: anomaly.sbs.com!kd1nr!news@uunet.uu.net

Subject: Mobile Flourecent Interference!

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

jwa@tellabs.com (John W. Albert) writes:

> The other night I was at an intersection waiting for a the light to  
> change. I noticed a great deal of interference on my 2 meter rig and  
> scanner. As the light changed the car next to me peeled out and, to  
> my surprised, the street was lit up with bright blue flourecent lights.  
> The interference, aparently, was caused by a switching supplies that  
> ran the lights.

I'm pretty sure it's not fluorescent lights... they're neon. I've seen  
it around license plates and under cars too.

> Whats with the lights? Is this a new fad straight out of Deetroit?  
> Are the "Low Riders" traiding in their hydrolics for light show equipment?  
> Am I square?

Nope you're not square, you're just not a homey. Homey's love makin'  
their cars look, um, different from the rest as though tinting all the  
windows black, putting gold trim all over the car, and having vanity  
plates isn't enough. :)

> I guess I'll have to tape a flourecent tube to my low band Hustler and  
> operate some mobile VHF at night.

Nah... just a little neon ball at the tip of the antenna. That'd get  
some interesting looks.

-----  
Tony Pelliccio kd1nr/ae  
\*!\*!\*!\*!\*!\*!\*!\*!\*!\*  
system@garlic.sbs.com  
-----

"Usenet is like a herd of performing elephants  
with diarrhea -- massive, difficult to  
redirect, awe-inspiring, entertaining, and a  
source of mind-boggling amounts of excrement  
when you least expect it." --spaf (1992)

-----  
Date: Sat, 8 May 1993 17:11:14 GMT  
From: amdcad!amdcl2!brian@decwrl.dec.com  
Subject: The Canonical list of Code-Wars Answers  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

To Code or Not To Code, \*THAT\* is the question! I've collected and  
distilled the \*ENTIRE\* net.wisdom on this issue and so I present the  
following canonical list for your elucidation. In order to conserve  
net.bandwidth, please search for your favorite answer and then respond  
by number rather than with the entire text. :-)

Brian McMinn N5PSS brian.mcminn@amd.com



- 1) No-Code is good!
- 2) No-Code is bad!
- 3) Morse Code is a separate and distinct \*LANGUAGE\*!
- 4) No it isn't!
- 5) Yes it is! I just talked to a guy in Upper Eeleeouglieaph yesterday. His name was Dzlaeuflinaout and that's all I know!
- 6) Everybody should know Morse Code to help intercept \*SPY\* messages!
- 7) Hey! Morse is my favorite mode! Don't knock it 'till you try it!
- 8) Morris Code stinks!
- 9) No it doesn't!
- 10) It's the most spectrally \*efficient\* mode!
- 11) No it isn't!
- 12) Code will punch through when all else fails because it has the \*BEST\* immunity to noise!
- 13) No way! Digital modes and DSP will pull a signal out of the noise when you can't \*EVEN\* hear it!
- 14) I've got better things to do with my brain cells than ask them to act like a wetware modem!
- 15) I had to learn Morse Code so \*YOU\* should too!
- 16) All past and current problems with ham radio are a direct result of the No-Code Technicians!
- 17) Morse Code keeps the riff-raff out of ham radio!
- 18) Toss the Code requirement and make the written test harder!
- 19) Can I order pizza in Morse Code?
- 20) I heard one of those bozo's sending Morse Code on the repeater!
- 21) If Morris Code is so good, why does it need protected band space?
- 22) The FCC says No-Code is good. So There!
- 23) I wouldn't be a ham if there wasn't a No-Code license.
- 24) GET A LIFE!
- 25) Where's my KILL file?
- 26) That's the sound of an electric hole saw warming up!
- 27) The ARRL did this to us! Blame \*THEM\*!
- 28) The ARRL tried their best to stop this! Blame \*THEM\*!
- 29) I'm going to cancel my ARRL membership over this \*ONE\* issue!
- 30) Hams who started with No-Code now represent 98% of all hams!
- 31) Hey! Can't you U.S. guys keep this discussion to yourselves?
- 32) You're a \*#&@!^%!
- 33) Yeah? And your mother wears tennis shoes!
- 34) Oh bother, let's just give the spectrum back to the spectrum gods.
- 35) Vacuum Tubes! Yeah, now that's a great idea!
- 36) I can build a CW transceiver with only \*THREE\* components!
- 37) Including the \*ANTENNA\*!
- 38) Without Morse Code, the ham bands will be filled with \*CB'ers\*!
- 39) UGH!
- 40) Hey! Who're you calling a \*CB'er\*!
- 41) (in chorus) UGH!
- 42) Free the airwaves! Eliminate the written test!

43) Sigh. Another step in the &#x\$\*)# incentive licensing scandal.  
44) The QCWA supported No-Code, so it must be good!  
45) It's a conspiracy of the {ARRL, QCWA, AMSAT, TAPR, \$\$\$\$ , etc.}  
46) This discussion is \*BORING\*!  
47) \*EVERYBODY\* supports No-Code!  
48) \*EVERYBODY\* hates No-Code!  
49) Morse Code is a \*VALUABLE\* skill!  
50) There's an \*INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENT\* that forbids this!  
51) But Japan doesn't abide by it!  
52) Morse Code -- it's \*DIVINE\*!  
53) \*FLAMETHROWERS ON HIGH\*!  
54) Go ahead, I've got my asbestos underwear on!  
55) Ya feel lucky, Punk? Huh? Go ahead, make my day.  
56) Take this discussion to r.r.a.policy!

-----

Date: Sat, 8 May 1993 16:59:32 GMT  
From: usc!howland.reston.ans.net!darwin.sura.net!emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!  
gary@network.UCSD.EDU  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <930503.231753.903.rusnews.w165w@mooch.sbs.com>,  
<1993May5.093748.19256@ke4zv.uucp>,  
<930506.203411.1N2.rusnews.w165w@mooch.sbs.com>  
Reply-To : gary@ke4zv.UUCP (Gary Coffman)  
Subject : Re: no-code defense

In article <930506.203411.1N2.rusnews.w165w@mooch.sbs.com> system@mooch.sbs.com  
(Christopher Ogren) writes:

>

>Well the ARRL did not support no-code for the longest time and I have  
>oodles of QST articles to prove it. Then they did an about face  
>because of the \$\$\$\$. ARRL does not represent a majority of hams anyway.

The League had to be dragged kicking and screaming into the later half  
of the twentieth century, mainly by efforts of QCWA, TAPR, AMSAT, et al.  
Now certain League officials may be venial, but even so it's hard to  
see how they would personally profit much from greater membership  
in the association. Certainly the salaries of the paid staff don't  
reflect prosperity, and the elected officials aren't paid, except  
for per diem expenses. The League may not use membership funds  
efficiently, but they are mostly poured back into membership services.  
Accusing them of being in it for the money is wide of the mark.

>Gee let me think TAPR and AMSAT usually utilize frequencies above 50  
>MHZ. Of course they are gonna support something that increases their  
>membership whether or not it is good for the hobby. Increasing

>membership is not necessarily a good thing in and of itself anyway.  
>Just listen to two meters and 70 cm. They are a disaster around here.  
>Jamming and intenonal interference have increased sharply aounrd here.

Accusing TAPR and AMSAT of being in it for the money is even more ludicrous than attacking the League. As to jamming and intentional interference increasing in your area, it appears to be a local personality problem. Certainly we haven't seen it in Atlanta. Of course we don't make a habit of bad mouthing newcomers here, so there's little reason for our repeaters to be targeted by irate folks. We've welcomed the newcomers and helped them integrate into our existing clubs and repeater associations. Many of them have brought an enthusiasm and energy to our groups that was sadly lacking before.

>I supported a no-code "digital" license in the beginning. But now voice  
>and who knows what's next. My father has been licensed since 1957 and  
>he thinks the no-codes are a joke too. So don't think that because QCWA  
>supported it (probably with ARRL's prodding) that "most" hams in the  
>hobby for over 25 years agree with you. That is an invalid conclusion.

Hmmm...so your negativism runs in the family. I guess I'm not surprised. Some of our elderly hams were disgruntled at first too, but they were too well mannered to attack the newcomers publicly. Now that no code techs are holding office in clubs, acting as repeater trustees, and generally being the sparkplugs of many of our organizations, most of the elderly, and a few not so elderly, objectors have had a change of heart.

>Sure incentive licensing makes sense. The idea was put forward to  
>increase the number of persons who had a special skill such as Morse  
>Code. Anyone can press a mic button and blab.

Anyone can bash a pair of contacts together and make noise too, but that's not important. It's the \*content\* of the communications that's important, not the modulation method.

>Even if code was dropped all together as an exam requirement (can't be  
>done because of international agreements) the exmas should at least be  
>made worthy of the knowledge an amateur radio operator is suppose to  
>posess.

And what knowledge would that be? Amateur radio is supposed to be a lifelong learning experience. Self training is one of our primary charters. We don't require a Phd for enrollment in kindergarden, and neither should we require an engineering degree for entrance into amateur radio. The idea is to require the minimum amount of knowledge necessary for the safe and legal practice of radio experimentation so that the lifelong \*learning by doing\* can commence.

Any testing beyond that level serves no valid purpose except to create divisions in the fraternity. Those who wish to use manual CW modulation will learn how, else they won't be able to use the method. Forcing them to pass an exam is redundant. The only exam that's relevant is their communications success or failure \*on the air\*, and that doesn't require Federal attention.

As far as international regulations requiring code exams, that misses the mark a bit as well. First there is no speed requirement in the international regulations. And second, any national administration may take exception to any of the requirements simply by filing a notice of such exception. The Japanese have done so for example. They allow amateurs who have not passed a code exam access to HF. It's also almost certain that the next competent WARC will remove the vestiges of manual International Code testing from the regulations. Manual code has disappeared from nearly every service except amateur, and too many national administrations have already established successful codeless amateur classes. Each country's vote counts as much as any other country's vote at a WARC. The US won't be able to steamroller an anachonism on the world forever, even if the League wishes it were so.

Gary

--

Gary Coffman KE4ZV		You make it,		gatech!wa4mei!ke4zv!gary
Destructive Testing Systems		we break it.		uunet!rsiatl!ke4zv!gary
534 Shannon Way		Guaranteed!		emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary
Lawrenceville, GA 30244				

-----

Date: 8 May 1993 17:43:37 GMT  
From: topaz.bds.com!topaz.bds.com!ron@uunet.uu.net  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <930503.230105.5X2.rusnews.w165w@mooch.sbs.com>,  
<1993May06.033939.9289@ssc.com>, <1993May07.234614.988@anomaly.sbs.com>  
Subject : Re: no-code defense

> Well as I was away at college I was not privy to the fact of that NPRM.  
> would have certainly responded in a negative fashion.

Then you deserve what you got. Any public issue that you can't be bothered to participate in the process about should remove your right to complain. I bet you're one of these people who bitches about whatever the current president, but never bothered to vote.

> It should only be  
> amateur radio operators who are allowed to respond to NPRM's concerning

> amateur radio.

Wrong here as well. This is not how a democracy works.

> I am sure W5YI and the ARRL pushed hard for people to right  
> in in a positive way. (This has been convered before).

Actually, the first time it came up the ARRL was against it. The second time they were for it. Can't comment about W5YI, but the ARRL is a membership organization. Doesn't operate too long contrary to the bulk of the membership. I certainly remember much discussion in league circles about this topic.

(By the way, I'm sure ARLL pushed hard for writing, not righting).

> Gee wrong you are there! It was supposed to have been a digital license

What do you base this on? While the digital modes were a reason to relax the licensing requirements, the proposal was for a VHF/UHF license for all modes. There were talks about not making it all the bands, and primarily the reason that it became equivelent to the existing Technician Class license was mostly for administrative than technical reasons.

> Gee maybe you are a no code?

Before you try that on me, I've been licensed since 1970, which puts me right on the threshhold of being an old fart. I have held an Extra Class license since before I started campaigning for a No Code license. I've even been known to work CW once and a while.

It's too bad that there's no way we can administer a test for obnoxiousness. I'd submit the paperwork to add that to the list of requirements today.

-Ron

-----

Date: 8 May 1993 17:59:29 GMT

From: usc!cs.utexas.edu!swrinde!gatech!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!po.CWRU.Edu!  
ptd2@network.UCSD.EDU

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <C6MLux.MI5@cen.ex.ac.uk>, <2cT83B1w164w@jwt.oau.org>,  
<1993May8.131736.311@ke4zv.uucp>2

Reply-To : ptd2@po.CWRU.Edu (Palmer T. Davis)

Subject : Re: FM Transmission of music.(Pirate stations)

>>Are you thinking that perhaps your professor will be impressed with your  
>>ability to break FCC regulations? Or are you just really, really dying  
>>to get a close look at that yellow truck with the loop antenna on top?

>

>I wonder, are FCC vans amphibious? I think they'd have to be to get  
>to the UK.

>

>>Get smart. Don't do this.

>

>Get a clue, he's not in the US. No doubt he'd be in violation of all  
>kinds of UK regulations, but let the UK folks deal with it.

Well, if the DEA can bust foreign heads of state on their own soil, why  
can't the FCC bust foreign nationals?

: -)

--

Palmer T. Davis      ---      UN-altered REPRODUCTION and DISSEMINATION of  
<ptd2@po.cwru.edu>    \X/    this IMPORTANT Information is ENCOURAGED.

-----

End of Info-Hams Digest V93 #558

\*\*\*\*\*